

## Meeting evaluation questionnaire

From the meeting within the TEMPUS project ECBAC-517200 “*Establishing and Capacity Building of the Southern Serbian Academy and The National Conference for Vocational Higher Education*” held 28th-29th May, 2013 in the premises of the *IPP Porto* in Porto, Portugal.

### 1 What were your expectations related to this meeting and have they been fulfilled?

person	ANSWERS
1.	Expectations have been partially fulfilled
2.	I expected the model of the Academy to be concrete and my expectations have mostly been fulfilled
3.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled and the meeting was very successful
4.	Yes, partially
5.	Yes, expectations have been completely fulfilled and the meeting was very well organized
6.	Yes, expectations have been completely fulfilled
7.	Yes
8.	Yes
9.	Yes, completely
10.	Yes
11.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
12.	I expected the report from the previous meeting to be approved of; I expected to hear proposals for further activities; my expectations have been fulfilled
13.	Yes, partially
14.	yes
15.	Yes, we expected to take concrete steps, the following meetings have been scheduled
16.	
17.	Yes, expectations have been completely fulfilled
18.	Yes, expectations have been fulfilled
19.	I expected the areas of cooperation between the 8 colleges to be defined, my expectations were completely fulfilled
20.	I expected that the Serbian Conference would give its consent for signing the membership for the project which was not fulfilled, but evrything else was

### 2 Make comments on the following items of the agenda:

- ◆ Presentation :mission, vision, SWOT, strategic goals- College of Agriculture and Food Technology in Prokuplje

person	ANSWERS
1.	standard
2.	standard
3.	Very good
4.	good
5.	Very good
6.	Satisfactory
7.	excellent
8.	excellent
9.	Excellent and very realistic presentation
10.	Excellent
11.	No comment
12.	excellent
13.	Satisfactory
14.	good
15.	Not developed enough, not detailed
16.	Average in any sense
17.	good
18.	I expected more, the presentation should have been better prepared
19.	The presentation gives a realistic picture of the situation in this school
20.	Good

♦ **Presentation :mission, vision, SWOT, strategic goals – The School of Higher Technical Professional Education in Niš**

person	ANSWERS
1.	standard
2.	standard
3.	excellent
4.	Very good
5.	Very good
6.	Excellent
7.	excellent
8.	excellent
9.	excellent
10.	Excellent
11.	No comment
12.	excellent
13.	Satisfactory
14.	excellent
15.	excellent
16.	Excellent presentation
17.	I am satisfied with the presentation
18.	Excellent, beyond expectations
19.	Exceptionally prepared presentation
20.	excellent

♦ **Presentation :mission, vision, SWOT, strategic goals – The College of Textile in Leskovac**

person	ANSWERS
1.	
2.	standard
3.	
4.	good
5.	excellent
6.	good
7.	excellent
8.	good
9.	good
10.	Very good
11.	No comment
12.	excellent
13.	Satisfactory
14.	Very good
15.	This presentation was the best
16.	The best presentation of all the schools
17.	Clear and comprehensive
18.	the presentation should have been better prepared
19.	Good presentation
20.	Excellent presentation

♦ **Presentation of VH LORA (Marc Van De Walle)**

person	ANSWERS
1.	excellent
2.	Excellent presentation, clear, comprehensive, informative and presented in a great way
3.	good
4.	Very good
5.	excellent
6.	Very good
7.	excellent
8.	comprehensive

9.	Very useful presentation
10.	Excellent
11.	Very complex, a lot could be learned about the organization in VLHORA
12.	excellent
13.	Very good
14.	Very good
15.	Ok
16.	Very comprehensive
17.	Experiences from abroad which can be applied in our country as well
18.	Good, it could have been better, it was a bit monotonous
19.	satisfactory
20.	Excellent and very interesting, presented in a great way

♦ Presentation of the National Conference of Academies of Career Studies from Serbia

person	ANSWERS
1.	Below expectations
2.	Which presentation?
3.	
4.	good
5.	Very good
6.	satisfactory
7.	excellent
8.	
9.	ok
10.	excellent
11.	Since it was not presented in Power point it was difficult to follow
12.	excellent
13.	
14.	Very good
15.	This was an oral presentation which affected the quality, it was not persuasive enough
16.	?!
17.	Good presentation
18.	Very bad, this is not the right way to present the Conference of Serbia, the presentation was not prepared well enough
19.	Well prepared framework of the Conference
20.	It was very confusing, not prepared well enough

♦ Project management and the presentation of the interim report -Geert De Lepeleer

person	ANSWERS
1.	Clear, concrete and responsible
2.	Good as always
3.	Very good
4.	good
5.	The report was very comprehensive
6.	good
7.	proper
8.	good
9.	ok
10.	excellent
11.	ok
12.	
13.	Satisfactory
14.	Excellent
15.	Ok
16.	ok
17.	Good presentation which signifies that a lot of work needs to be done
18.	excellent
19.	Exceptional and efficient project management, the report was well presented
20.	Clear, short and comprehensive, well done

◆ Presentation –defining a realistic framework for cooperation of eight colleges in the eastern Serbia-Dejan Blagojevi

person	ANSWERS
1.	Inventive, excellent
2.	Good and realistic
3.	excellent
4.	Very good
5.	There are real chances to achieve these goals
6.	Very good
7.	propper
8.	Explained in detail
9.	Excellent, congratulations!
10.	excellent
11.	Realistic and concrete
12.	Excellent presentation
13.	Satisfactory
14.	Excellent
15.	Ok
16.	Comprehensive and analytic
17.	I think that everybody agrees with the proposal
18.	Well devised and realistic, we will manage to accomplish it only if we are persistent
19.	Framework of cooperation was defined in detail
20.	Excellent, well devised and realistic

◆ Presentations of the work groups: Practical development of the Academy

person	ANSWERS
1.	Inventive, responsible, good
2.	Good to the extent that it was possible to work on it, the work groups made effort to develop a practical model of the Academy
3.	Presentations were very good although there was little time to make them
4.	good
5.	excellent
6.	Very good
7.	propper
8.	It is necessary to make amandments and corrections
9.	ok
10.	Excellent
11.	A very good foundation but it should be improved during the time
12.	Very good reports that have to be developed further on
13.	Satisfactory presentation
14.	Excellent
15.	Well done
16.	Studios approach, very good elaboration
17.	Good presentation with realistic framework
18.	The results are great, the work groups have done a great job
19.	Well defined missions and visions for the four areas
20.	Well done, however the results should be put into practice

◆ evaluation and further project planning- André Govaert

person	ANSWERS
1.	standard
2.	Very detailed evaluation
3.	excellent
4.	good
5.	excellent
6.	good
7.	propper
8.	good
9.	ok

10.	Very good
11.	Ok
12.	
13.	Satisfactory
14.	Excellent
15.	ok
16.	ok
17.	I am satisfied
18.	excellent
19.	Good proposal for internal meetings among the 8 colleges, baring in mind the purchase of equipment which is ahead of us
20.	excellent

### 3 What is your opinion on the meeting agenda in general?

person	ANSWERS
1.	Agenda is quite neat
2.	The agenda was more than acceptable since some concrete ideas arose as the final result
3.	excellent
4.	It was very good
5.	It was excellent
6.	It was good
7.	It was very tight
8.	This time it was good
9.	It was well organized
10.	excellent
11.	ok
12.	
13.	Very good
14.	It was Excellent
15.	It was good
16.	
17.	Well done
18.	Excellent, the only shortcoming was that the tour of the IPP university laboratories and cabinets was not better organized, it was a bit monotonous
19.	Well devised and well done
20.	It was well organized and not too demanding which was very good

### 4 What have you learned? Which part of the meeting did you or didn't you like?

person	ANSWERS
1.	I liked the part concerning the proposal for the cooperation model and the VLHORA presentation
2.	I liked everything
3.	I liked everything
4.	No comment
5.	I liked everything
6.	I liked work in the work groups
7.	I liked work in the work groups
8.	
9.	I learned a lot from the foreign partners, all the presentations were ok
10.	I liked the part concerning the realistic image of the framework for the functioning of the Academy
11.	I liked the VLHORA presentation and the quality control
12.	
13.	
14.	We should define the framework of cooperation by giving concrete proposals
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	Everything is great, we should continue in the same way, we are heading towards the final goal

19.	I liked the part when we worked in the work groups where all the participants gave their contribution to the task
20.	I liked work in the work groups and the VLHORA presentation

**5 What are your expectations to be fulfilled before the next meeting or during the next meeting?  
What are you going to do next?**

person	ANSWERS
1.	Proposals of the workgroups should be carried out and the cooperation document should be developed
2.	To make the action plan and further develop the model of the Academy
3.	To carry out the ideas that the work groups came upon
4.	There is a lot of work to do in between the meetings
5.	To work further on and to make things more concrete
6.	It is necessary to carry out the activities proposed in the work groups
7.	Sign the cooperation agreement, to get informed about the assessment of the report from Brussels, to make the Conference one of the partners on the project
8.	To carry out what is planned
9.	A framework for cooperation of eight schools should be made
10.	We should stick to the results of the first and the second group and carry them out in practice
11.	
12.	
13.	Concrete results
14.	We should carry out all the activities that we planned to do
15.	
16.	
17.	We need to make a specification for the equipment and to work on the harmonization of the rulebooks
18.	We all need to be well prepared, and everyone must do what is in his/her duty
19.	It is necessary to start practical cooperation among the 8 colleges in the IT area
20.	To prepare the project documents

**6 Other comments:**

person	ANSWERS
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	no
5.	
6.	
7.	ok
8.	
9.	
10.	The weather conditions were very bad and we had little free time; we could have had a more extensive tour of the IPP
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	Ask the Ministry about the aim and the outcome of this project
17.	
18.	My wish is, when we visit higher education institutions in the country and abroad to visit cabinets and the laboratories, to exchange opinions, apart from the activities given in the agenda. This would be very good to do when visiting Belgium and Germany. We should also make more video recordings and take more photos.
19.	More time is needed for the work in the work groups

--